Molecular magnetism and density-functional theory in magnetic fields

<u>Trygve Helgaker</u>¹, Ulf Ekström², Mark R. Hoffmann^{1,2}, Simen Kvaal¹, Kai K. Lange¹, Espen Sagvolden¹, Andrew M. Teale^{1,3}, Erik I. Tellgren¹

¹CTCC, Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Norway ²Department of Chemistry, University of North Dakota, USA ³School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, UK

We have undertaken a quantum-mechanical study of molecules in finite magnetic fields, using a recently developed computer code London for the calculation of manyelectron molecules with wave-function theory [1] and more recently with Kohn–Sham density-functional theory (DFT). These two approaches to electronic-structure theory are connected by an implementation of the Lieb variation principle [2,3], allowing us to study the exchange–correlation functional of DFT at different levels of ab initio theory.

Our calculations on molecules in strong magnetic fields have revealed and highlighted many interesting phenomena such as the transition to diamagnetism of paramagnetic molecules at a critical field strength [4]. Perhaps most interestingly, antibonding molecular orbitals are stabilized in the field, leading to strongly bound triplet H_2 and singlet H_2 molecules [5] and helium clusters [6] at about 10⁵ Tesla.

The application of DFT to magnetic fields requires modifications of the standard theory, by introducing a field- or current-dependence in the exchange–correlation functional. However, essentially all applications of Kohn–Sham theory to study magnetic phenomena ignore these modifications—yielding, for example, NMR shielding constants that are unreliable [7]. We discuss here some aspects of DFT in magnetic fields, including the Hohenberg–Kohn theorem and the choice of variables in DFT [8]. Kohn–Sham calculations with different parameterizations of the Vignale–Rasolt–Geldart (VRG) functional in finite magnetic fields are presented. The results demonstrate that this functional form does not provide improvements in practical calculations and highlight the need for the development of new current-dependent approximations.

- [1] Tellgren, E. I., Soncini, A., Helgaker, T. J. Chem. Phys. 129:154114, 2008.
- [2] Teale, A. M., Coriani, S., Helgaker, T. J. Chem. Phys. 130:104111, 2009.
- [3] Teale, A. M., Coriani, S., Helgaker, T. J. Chem. Phys. 132:164115, 2010.
- [4] Tellgren, E. I., Helgaker, T., Soncini, A. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11:5489–5498, 2009.
- [5] Lange, K. K., Tellgren, E. I., Hoffmann, M. R., Helgaker, T. Science 337:327–331, 2012.
- [6] Tellgren, E. I., Reine, S. S., Helgaker, T. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14:9492–9499, 2012.
- [7] Teale, A. M., Lutnæs, O. B., Helgaker, T., Tozer, D. J., Gauss, J. J. Chem. Phys. 138:024111, 2013.
- [8] Tellgren, E. I., Kvaal, S., Sagvolden, E., Ekström, U., Teale, A. M., Helgaker, T., *Phys. Rev. A* 86:062506, 2012.