## **Saving Sanderson's Principle?**

## Order of Magnitude Improvements by Equalizing Mulliken Valence-State Electronegativities $\chi_{VS}$ Instead of DFT-Based Chemical Potentials $\mu$

László von Szentpály, Institut für Theoretische Chemie, Universität Stuttgart, Deutschland.

lszentpaly@yahoo.com

Conceptual DFT operationally assumes  $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}(I_0 + A_0) = -\chi$ , with  $I_0$  and  $A_0$  being the ground-state ionization energy and electron affinity, respectively. However, a recent empirical test of 210 molecules discloses that most molecules seriously disobey Sanderson's electronegativity equalization (ENE) principle and the  $\mu$ -equalization principle [1]. In more than 150 cases, the molecular  $\mu_{mol}$  falls outside the range spanned by the  $\mu_{at}$  of the constituent atoms, min { $\mu_{at}$ }  $\leq \mu_{mol} \leq max$  { $\mu_{at}$ } [1].

I here discuss elementary thermodynamic cycles revealing the conditions for ENE and/or  $\mu$ equalization in arbitrary diatomic and selected polyatomic molecules. The cycles explain why the DFT-based operational  $\mu$  is equalized in exceptional cases only, as for the H<sub>2</sub> molecule. A proof is given that the diatomic  $\chi(XY)$  and  $\mu(XY)$  cannot be generally calculated from atomic data alone, but necessarily require molecular input data, specifically, the bond dissociation energies,  $D_0$ , of molecular ions, e. g.,  $XY^+$  and  $XY^-$ . Using ground-state  $I_0$  and  $A_0$  frequently violates the Wigner-Witmer correlation rules [2] necessary for proper molecular symmetry. According to the correlation rules Mulliken's valence-state ionization energies,  $I_{VS}$ , electron affinities,  $A_{VS}$ , and electronegativity,  $\chi_{VS}$ , [3] have to be employed for molecules and atoms alike. The differences between the molecular  $\chi_{VS, mol}$  =  $\frac{1}{2}(I_{\rm VS} + A_{\rm VS})$  and  $-\mu_{\rm mol}$  and the corresponding averages from atomic values,  $\langle \chi |_{\rm VS, at} \rangle$  and  $\langle -\mu_{\rm at} \rangle$ , are expressed by observed differences in D<sub>0</sub>. Such differences frequently cancel and an order of magnitude improvement of accuracy in ENE is achieved by the consistent use of Mulliken's valence-state  $\chi_{VS}$  as opposed to the commonly used ground-state EN, or µ values. The study shows that the Mulliken electronegativity and the DFT-based chemical potential have to be accepted as two separate and distinct properties characterizing chemical systems. For conceptual DFT a paradigm shift is in order by replacing the dominance of ground-states and emphasising valence-states.

<sup>[1]</sup> Datta, D., Shee, N., von Szentpály, L. J. Phys. Chem. A 117, 200-206, 2013.

<sup>[2]</sup> Wigner, E., Witmer, E. E. Z. Phys. 51, 859-886, 1928.

<sup>[3] (</sup>a) Mulliken, R. S. *J. Chem. Phys .2,* 782-793, 1934; (b) Mulliken, R. S. *J. Chem. Phys 3,* 573-585, 1934; (c) Mulliken, R. S. *J. Chim. Phys. (France)* 46, 497-542, 1949. (English version: 1948/49 ONR Report on MO Theory).